





UNDP/GEF PROJECT ENTITLED "REDUCING ENVIRONMENTAL STRESS IN THE YELLOW SEA LARGE MARINE ECOSYSTEM"

UNDP/GEF/YS/RWG-F.4/6 Date: 30 October 2007 English only

Fourth Meeting of the Regional Working Group for the Fisheries Component Seokcho, Republic of Korea, 7 - 9 November 2007

Preparation of Yellow Sea Strategic Action Plan (SAP)

1. According to the overall workplan, the Project has begun developing the SAP. The "TDA/SAP Training Module" developed by UN's TRAINSEACOAST network gives the definition of SAP as:

> "a negotiated policy document which should identify policy, legal and institutional reforms and investments needed to address the priority transboundary problems. Endorsed at the highest level, it establishes clear priorities for action to resolve the priority problems which were identified in the TDA. The preparation of a SAP is a cooperative process among the countries of the region."

- 2. Given the definition, the Project has now focused its activities over the next two years on those that will be relevant to the development of the SAP, including the regional and national SAPs, i.e. activities that will eventually lead to formation of management actions, some of which will be included in the pilot implementation phase during Project Year 5, for their feasibilities, efficiency and effectiveness in addressing Yellow Sea's transboundary Fisheries problems.
- 3. During the SAP Consultation Meeting, Carrying Capacity of the Environment was adopted as the central linkage in the SAP and was defined as the Services delivered by the Yellow Sea Large Marine Ecosystem in term of Provisioning Services (fisheries, mariculture and other extractive goods), Supporting/Regulating Services (carbon sink, nutrient balance, ecosystem stability) and Cultural Services (tourism, education and religion.
- 4. A three-step approach is proposed: a) environmental problems, b) regional environmental targets, c) management actions. While it is suggested that these three steps are taken to develop the fisheries section of the SAP, all steps should be considered simultaneously:
 - a) Identification of Fisheries related problems;
 - b) Recommendation of realistic and achievable regional targets for fisheries assessment and management;

c) And suggest sustainable actions for execution by political, technical, institutional, and legal bodies to address the causes of the fisheries problems as identified in the Causal Chain Analysis.

Identification of Fisheries related problems

- 5. For the Fisheries Component the primary concern is the decline in landings of many commercial species caused by:
 - Over capacity in the fishing fleet;
 - Destructive fishing practices;
 - Illegal fishing;
 - And insufficient data for effective stock management.

For mariculture of primary concern is unsustainable mariculture practices caused by:

- Lack of concern for nutrient discharges;
- Over stocking with inappropriate species;
- And ineffective disease control

Ecosystem Quality Objectives or Regional Targets

- 6. During the First Ad Hoc SAP meeting experts outlined regional targets for all components.
- 7. For Fisheries and Mariculture:
 - A 25-30% reduction in catch and fishing effort;
 - And introduction of sustainable mariculture, polyculture; optimisation of the species cultured and their distribution; and improvements in culture techniques.

<u>Members should review the suggested Regional targets and suggest possible</u> <u>improvements that may facilitate the either the understanding or the</u> <u>measurement of achievement</u>

Proposed Management Actions

- 8. During the Second Ad Hoc SAP Working Group Meeting, a small group of experts reviewed the regional targets and outlined the management actions that are needed in order to achieve the previously mentioned regional targets. The group began with listing the ideal management actions, then listing the existing or already planned actions, and finally, based on their expertise, generally determining what management actions might be feasible to achieve the targets.
- 9. For Fisheries these included
 - Introduction of legislation to help cut fishing effort by >25% and catch by 25%;
 - Community based self regulation/management system:
 - Ecosystem based management;

- Introduction of measures to reduce the number of illegal fishing boats; clearer identification numbers and VMS for larger boats
- Improved frequency and coverage of fish stock survey to provide more informed guidance.
- More detail is available in Annex 1

For mariculture these included

- Increase implementation of Integrated Multi-trophic Aquaculture (IMTA)
- Roll out of limited water exchange aquaculture land based systems including recirculating systems. Improvement of artificial diets
- Develop diseases diagnosis and control techniques. Establish the network for diseases monitoring system.
- More detail is available in Annex 1

Because the SAP Ad-hoc Working Group consists of a limited number of experts, input from additional experts are needed, i.e. the RWG members.

Thus, members are invited to:

- 1. review the entire table of suggested management actions (Annex 1);
- 2. <u>check that the "feasible management actions by 2020" really are technically</u> <u>feasible (See section below on Feasibility Studies); and</u>
- 3. <u>suggest possible improvements that may improve the clarity and ease of</u> <u>"feasible management actions" for implementation.</u>

Feasibility Studies

10. Before selecting and testing the management actions, each action's technical feasibility, and political and social acceptance must be assessed. The RWG will be responsible for assessing the technical feasibility of the proposed management actions.

Following the clarification of the actions from above, the <u>RWG members should review</u> the actions and conduct feasibility study for each proposed action.¹ One approach to accomplishing this may be:

- 1. The experts should consider whether there is or will sufficient technical ability to perform the suggested action;
- 2. The experts could score the actions based only on technical ability: (1) Not possible (2) Unlikely (3) Likely or (4) Very likely;
- 3. The experts should provide a rationale for their selection;
- 4. The experts should also comment on the action in terms of institutional and political obstacles.

<u>The Regional Working Groups for the Project Components should discuss the national</u> proposals and provide comments and guidance to the National Working Groups.

<u>National Working Groups are responsible for the relevant feasibility studies in the</u> participating countries, and the study results will be reported to the members of the SAP Ad Hoc Working Group through PMO as outlined in the TOR.

¹ Members need to review only the actions under "Feasible management actions by 2020" in Annex I.

Proposed Demonstration Sites

11. During the Second Ad Hoc SAP meeting, experts drew up some guidelines to select: firstly which management actions should be selected for demonstration; and secondly how to choose the demonstration site (See Annex II).

12. <u>***Prior to the 4th RWG-F Meeting, members are requested to review Annex I</u> and the agreed "Selection Criteria for Demonstration Projects and Sites (Annex II)."***

<u>Based on the criteria and management action table, members are requested to come</u> <u>prepared to the meeting to suggest some actions that should be demonstrated and</u> <u>provide guidance on possible activities to demonstrate each action.</u> Some examples of possible demonstration activities for fisheries/mariculture-related management actions might be:

- Monitoring of the effectiveness of closed seasons/areas
- Rebuilding of stock through stock enhancement
- Effectiveness of IMTA combined with Carrying capacity models on productivity and nutrient loading
- Effectiveness of limited water exchange on nutrient reduction and productivity.
- 13. For guidance the RWG-Pollution demonstration activities are included in Annex III, this included brief methodology and expected outputs. Indicators of management success (Process indicator, stress reduction indicator and environmental status indicator as defined by the GEF) should also be identified.
- 14. The proposed actions for demonstration will be advertised in a "Call for Proposals" that the PMO will put out in March 2008. The deadline for interested persons to submit proposal for demonstration activities is scheduled for end of May 2008. During June 2008, an external review panel will evaluate proposals and decide which ones to fund. <u>Members are requested to suggest 3-5 neutral persons to serve as evaluators</u>. Demonstration activities will then begin implementation soon after.
- 15. The proposal submission format is attached as Annex III. Members may provide comments on the format if they wish.

Schedule for SAP Preparation

- 16. The Second SAP Ad-Hoc Working Group Meeting agreed on the following schedule for SAP preparation:
 - SAP Drafting Group Meetings will be held in January 2008, March 2008, and May 2008.
 - Special RSTP and PSC Meetings will be convened in April 2008 to review the final draft of the SAP. Comments from these meetings will be incorporated by the Drafting Group into the final SAP document for governments to approve in the middle of 2008.
 - Drafting of the National Yellow Sea Action Plans (NYSAPs) is a national responsibility and should be coordinated by the NPCs in their respective country. NYSAPs will be developed during 2008, and are expected to be ready for government approval sometime in 2008.

Expected results from the meeting

- 17. In summary, during the consideration of this Agenda, members should discuss and agree on :
 - Regional Fisheries targets;
 - Fisheries Management actions;
 - Conduct technical feasibility study on the actions;
 - And suggest management actions to be demonstrated.

Problems identified	Regiona I target	General action	Ideal Management Action			Analysis of Planned & On-going Management Actions			Feasible Management Actions by 2020				Re ma
in CCA	(2020)	uotion	Technical	Institutional	Legislative	Technical	Institutional	Legislative	Technical	Institutional	Legislative	bility	rk
Decline in landings of many commerci ally- important species	25-30% reductio n in catch and fishing effort (2004)	Reduce no. of boat/pow er	Data linkage between the reduction in fishing effort and the fish biomass. Boat buy- back and control of new boat building. Reduction of fishing effort to optimum level keep biomass at biological safety	Creation of alternative livelihoods until all ex- fishermen have new employment	Laws to reduce no of boats/pow er and licenses, combined with policies to encourage other livelihoods . Subsidies for alternative livelihoods and boat buyback	Boat buy- back has already been initiated as has control of new boat building	Creation of alternative livelihoods has already been initiated	Laws to reduce no of boats/pow er and licenses, combined with policies to encourage other livelihoods have already been initiated. Subsidies for alternative livelihoods and boat buyback	Boat buy- back and control of new boat building until fishing effort is reduced to the required level	Creation of alternative livelihoods	Continued strengtheni ng of the laws to reduce no of boats/pow er and licenses, combined with policies to encourage other livelihoods . Subsidies for alternative livelihoods and boat buyback		
		Stop fishing in certain areas/ seasons	Identification of closed areas/season s according to scientific knowledge of fish spp. Comprehens ive monitoring of illegal fishing activities	Increase in general public awareness of closed seasons and fishermen's awareness of regulations and future benefits. Capacity building for enforcement. Develop	Improveme nt of regulations. Stop illegal fishing.	Some closed areas during spawning season for selected spp. In China - summer fishing ban. Insufficient knowledge	Mechanism for Increasing awareness of regulations.	Conservatio n acts to protect fish stocks.	More science based closure of areas and seasons. Summer fishing ban to be continued in China. Limitation of trawling to certain	Increased awareness of regulations	Conservati on acts to protect fish stocks		

Annex I – Proposed Fisheries Management Actions.

		plan for monitoring illegal fishing					areas. Compreh ensive monitorin g of illegal fishing)			
Incr in mesh size	Identification of optimal size at capture and reduction of by-catch	Increase in public awareness of regulations and future benefits. Capacity building for enforcement.	Improveme nt of regulations of size limits, monitoring and enforcemen t. Increase in funding for the enforcemen t. Stop Illegal fishing	Optimal size at capture is only known for some commercial species	Public awareness of regulations and future benefits is increasing	Some regulation in place but need to improve enforcemen t.	Improved selectivity of fishing gears.	Increase in Public awareness of regulations and future benefits	Improveme nt of regulations. Regulations and enforcemen t to stop Illegal fishing	
Improve ment in Stock manage ment	Use of precautionary approach and ITQ & EBFM based on improved monitoring. Establishmen t of database and joint stock analysis/ assessment	Coordination between scientists, managers, fishermen, gov depts and regionally. Establish regional organisation.	Self- regulation system by fishermen themselves and community- based manageme nt in the coastal areas. Widen the no of species for which landings data is recorded	ROK - TAC for limited number of spp. China- Negative growth in landings and summer fishing ban.	Need to improve coordination between scientists, managers, fishermen, gov depts and regionally →Move to legislative	[Some discussion in China for the introductio n of community -based fishing regulation. -> needs to be deleted] Widesprea d in ROK for self- regulation system by fishermen. [No	Introductio n of ITQ & EBFM based on improved monitoring and assessme nt.	Improved coordination between scientists, managers, fishermen, gov depts and regionally	Self- regulation system by fishermen themselves and community- based manageme nt in the coastal areas. [Establish regional organisatio n> move to institutiona	

				with independen t checks			regional organisatio n-> move to Institutiona I?]. → OK			Improveme nt in funding [delete] → OK	
Rebuildi ng of depleted fish stocks to normal levels	Rebuildi ng Stocks	Release of juveniles. Habitat improvement (artificial reef)	Coordination between scientists, managers, fishermen, gov depts and regionally	Improveme nt of policy and funding for the release of juveniles and habitat improveme nt. Self- regulation system by fishermen themselves and community- based manageme nt in the coastal areas.	In China massive release of juveniles of many species but some need for evaluation of effects. In ROK some species released. Habitat improveme nt many artificial reefs deployed	Limited coordination between scientists, managers, fishermen, gov depts and regionally	Limited environme ntal input into the policy of stock enhancem ent and habitat improveme nt. Improveme nt of policy and funding for the release of juveniles and habitat improveme nt. Self- regulation system by fishermen themselves and community- based manageme nt in the coastal areas (in ROK only).	Controlled release of juveniles based on sound scientific knowledge , with increase awarenes s of the genetic impacts. Habitat improvem ent to be continued through artificial reef.	Better coordination between scientists, managers, fishermen, gov depts and regionally	Improveme nt of policy and funding for the juvenile release and habitat improveme nt that take into account the environme ntal impacts. Self- regulation system by fishermen themselves and community- based manageme nt in the coastal areas.	

Sustain ble/Poly ulture/ optimiza ion of the distribut	a Develop c Environ mental- at friendly maricultu re ti models	Increase implementati on of Integrated Multi-trophic Aquaculture (IMTA)	Increase in Public awareness of the benefits of IMTA [set up a mechanism	Use the licenses for the control of farm area and species cultured	Integrated Multi-trophic Aquaculture (IMTA) is implemente d in some areas in	Limited public awareness of the benefits of IMTA Imaybe	Need to introduce licenses for IMTA practice [is this on- going	Integrated Multi- trophic Aquacultur e (IMTA) is implement	Training and capacity building	Increase the percentage of licenses issued for IMTA in relation to	
on and the culture spp Improv ment culture techniq	and technolo gy		for public awareness? ??]	(based on carrying capacity models) [note: using the licenses will be	China	deleted, sounds not an institutional action] (I don't agree)	matter???] (YES) (Ongoing in open sea and land based cultures in Korea-	ed as the major technolog y. Increase the economic benefit		monocultu re [sounds like a Technical](maybe rephrase - change of policy so	
es.				sufficient for an ideal legislative action?] (licenses is the most ideal tools at this time, Jang)			Jang)	from IMTA (combine d with Carrying capacity MODELS) (I agree, Jang)		that governmen t can stipulate the species compositio n and area occupied)	
		Move the mariculture from inshore to offshore and innovating the new technologies	[mechanism to]Increase in Public awareness of the benefits of offshore aquaculture. Coordination between different ministries, local government and private sectors	Legislating the reasonable and acceptable regulations for encouragin g the offshore mariculture	Need to develop (There are some) suitable innovative offshore aquacultur e technologi es (adapted) to different conditions[note: sounds not on-going] (yes, it is	Increase (Limited number of) demonstrati on sites in commercial scale [note: not sounds on- going](Yes)	Legislating the reasonable and acceptable regulations for encouragin g the offshore mariculture [note: not sounds on- going] (limited legislation and regulations	Standard offshore technolog ies to different condition s are well develope d [is this a feasible action to be proposed ????] (YES)	BAP (Best Aquaculture Practice) demonstrati on in commercial scale [move to Technical?]	Standards system and regulations for offshore mariculture are well established	

				on-going in Korea)I		for encouragin g sustainabl e offshore mariculture				
Reduce nutrient discharg e	Roll out of limited water exchange aquaculture land based systems including recirculating systems. Improvement of artificial diet	[Increase: delete] [mechanism for] public awareness of the benefits of artificial feeds.	Laws to regulate nutrients discharge and Policies to discourage use of trash fish	(There is substantial developme nt in)Limited water exchange aquacultur e systems, recirculatin g systems and improveme nt of artificial diet (. However limited water exchange systems and recirculatio n systems ard recirculatio n systems are only used by very limited numbers farmers.)is being implement ed [note: didn't understand	Increase demonstrati on sites in commercial scale note: not sounds on-going] (No sites to demonstrate the benefits of these systems)(Go vernment compensati ng expense for buying some artificial diet in Korea)	Preparing laws or regulation to control nutrients discharge and policies to discourage use of trash fish (in which country)(n ot in both countries)	(The rollout of)Limit ed water exchange aquacultu re systems and improvem ent of artificial diet is practiced in commerci al scale [note: didn't understa nd]	BAP (Best Aquaculture Practice) demonstrati on in commercial scale [move to Technical?]	Improved laws or regulations to control nutrients discharge and Policies to discourage use of trash fish	

			1]				

Γ	Effective	Develop the	[add	Regulation	Diagnosis	Coordination	More strict	Diagnosis	Coordination	Application		
	diseases	diseases	improve?]	of notifiable	and control	between	regulation	and	between	of stricter		ł
	Control	diagnosis	Coordination	diseases,	techniques	central	of	control	central	regulation		1
		and control	between	and	for some	government,	notifiable	technique	government,	for		ł
		techniques.	central	quarantine	diseases	scientists and	diseases,	s for all	scientists and	controlling		1
		Establish the	government,	procedures.	developed.	farmers is	and	diseases	farmers is	diseases.		1
		network for	scientists and	Regulations	The	established.	quarantine	is	intensified.			ł
		diseases	farmers.	for	network for	Pre-warning	procedures	establishe	Pre-warning			1
		monitoring	Establishing	preventing	diseases	system of	is needed.	d. The	system of			1
		system	the pre-	infectious	monitoring	diseases	Regulation	network	diseases			1
			warning	disease	system	needs [to be	s for	for	should be			1
			system of	transmissio	established	improved	preventing	diseases	operated all			1
			diseases	n		note:	infectious	monitoring	time			1
						sounds not	disease	system is				1
						like on-	transmissi	well				ł
						going]	on is	operated				ł
							needed					1
							[note:					1
							sounds not					ł
							on-going]				1	I

Annex II - Agreed Guidelines for Demonstration Project and Site Selection

• Timing of Demonstration Activities

Start September 2008 to December 2009 (May be able to start earlier)

• Selection Criteria for Demonstration Projects and Sites

- 1. Selection of management actions to demonstrate (criteria are listed in order of importance; actions should satisfy at least some criteria)
 - Effectiveness easily obtainable results
 - Ease of which the action can be demonstrated and results easily understood by general public (ease of dissemination)
 - Results that help raise public awareness
 - Combination of technical and institutional actions should both be demonstrated
 - Cross component action
 - Transboundary nature of actions
 - Opportunity to cooperate with other projects/organizations
 - Co-financing
- 2. Site selection
 - Appropriateness of site to demonstrate management actions
 - Political willingness
 - Stakeholder willingness to participate
 - Replicability in other areas around the region

• Procedure

- 1. Proposal to be submitted to the RWG
- 2. 2007 RWG will propose candidate actions and sites considering the criteria above. Justification should be provided.
- 3. RSTP will review and make recommendations
- 4. PSC will decide.
- 5. PMO will draw up contracts in consultation with NPCs

Annex III: Shortlist of Pollution Component's Demonstration Activities

A. <u>Atmospheric deposition monitoring and assessment</u>

- Coastal area
- 2 monitoring stations
- monthly sampling
- Measure nutrients, PAHs, trace metals.

Expected outputs:

- To evaluate amounts of contaminants from atmospheric deposition
- To assess temporal distribution of atmospheric deposition
- To assess the contribution of atmospheric deposition to total loadings of the coastal area
- To inform govt on amount of atm deposition and major sources of contaminants

B. Monitoring and assessment of sea-based sources of nutrients

- Coastal Bay containing mariculture activity
- 2 survey cruises

Expected outputs:

- To assess the contribution of sea-based discharge to total loadings
- Suggestion on reduction of sea-based discharge mainly of nutrients
- Inform mariculture industry where major sources of nutrients are released from, so that mariculture industry can improve its sustainability
- C. Management demonstration for recreational waters
 - any coastal city
 - Regular monitoring by govt agency
 - Marine litter monitoring and cleaning
 - Environment awareness education

Expected outputs:

- Proposed Management framework for recreational waters
- Beach closure/advisory system to improve public confidence in health and safety issues
- Show improved environmental awareness
- D. <u>Calculation of nutrient loads in hot spot area</u>
 - Any hot spot estuarine area
 - Seasonal cruise + additional monitoring by govt agency
 - Modeling nutrient loads

Expected outputs:

- Identification of main nutrient sources
- Calculation of nutrient loads
- Assessment of eutrophication impacts
- inform govt agencies on nutrient control measures

E. Monitoring and public awareness on marine litter

- coastal area
- 2 surveys

Expected outputs:

- Proposed management framework on marine litter in marine environment
- Show improved environmental awareness

F. regular public environmental awareness programmes

- set-up permanent exhibitions of specimens, posters, books, A/V materials
- can be new one or combine with existing ones

Expected outputs:

- exhibition + activities as needed
- enhance public awareness
- show govt how many participants were involved in exhibition set up and activities

Priority Ranking

Calculation of nutrient loads in hot spot area - 1*

Monitoring and assessment of sea-based sources of nutrients - 2

Atmospheric deposition monitoring and assessment – 3

Monitoring and public awareness on marine litter - 4 Regular public environmental awareness programmes - 4

Management demonstration for recreational waters - 5

^{*} 1 is highest priority